

**From:** [PETERSON Jenn L](#)  
**To:** [Robert W. Gensemer](#); [Joe Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Cc:** [Brad Hermanson](#); [Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Carrie A. Smith](#); [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Subject:** RE: WOE ready for internal review  
**Date:** 01/30/2008 02:19 PM

---

Yes, I think we should call them different media. I think that is how we had it in previous versions.

-----Original Message-----  
From: Robert W. Gensemer [mailto:rgensemer@parametrix.com]  
Sent: Wednesday, January 30, 2008 2:15 PM  
To: Goulet.Joe@epamail.epa.gov  
Cc: Brad Hermanson; Shephard.Burt@epamail.epa.gov; Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov; Carrie A. Smith; Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov; PETERSON Jenn L  
Subject: RE: WOE ready for internal review

Hi Joe. Yes, TZW and surface water LOEs are weighted separately for all receptors which have complete pathways to TZW. The exposure assessment scores for each are clearly different for several reasons, but the effects assessment scores will be the same because the same water TRVs are used regardless of what kind of water it is.

FYI, I played with double lines to separate media or LOEs in earlier versions, but it got messy. Another thing we could do is to make TZW and surface water different exposure "media" in the tables, instead of them both being two different kinds of the same media: "water." Give me a call if you'd like me to walk you through what that would look like. Would that do the trick? I'm not sure where I'd put any explanatory text to address this concern, but it could also be footnoted I suppose. Let me know what you think of these suggestions. -Bob

-----Original Message-----  
From: Goulet.Joe@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Goulet.Joe@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Wednesday, January 30, 2008 2:06 PM  
To: Robert W. Gensemer  
Cc: Brad Hermanson; Shephard.Burt@epamail.epa.gov; Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov; Carrie A. Smith; Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov; PETERSON Jenn L  
Subject: Re: WOE ready for internal review

Bob and Jennifer,

Question: Is the intent to compare TZW exposure pathway and water exposure pathway in the WOE? I think they are generally separate exposures that are related in that they are both water media, but they can not be substituted for the other.

I think a little bit of text and maybe a double line separating the two in the table will fix this. What do you think?

Joe